

Team SYS-ED

From:	Laurence Platt <laurenceplatt@att.net>
To:	Senn, Janice cJaniceSenn@ssa.gov>
CC:	David Silverberg <dsilverberg@syped.com>; David Shapiro <dshapiro@syped.com>; Susan Scheinfeld <susan@syped.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, May 03, 2000 11:58 AM
Subject:	System Status of REXX Product on SEF

Janice

This is a very good point you are making.

Jim Gochenour told me the compiler will be available.

From my point of view, I can teach it or not. Being a purist, I would "like" to teach it, but that is not important in why I am coming to serve you.

As long as you have the REXX compiler, we can teach it or not, and I would like to leave that up to you. I will say, however, that the use of the compiler and the teaching of it will be no more complex than any other aspect of this seminar. That is my opinion.

So when we meet on the morning of May 15, I will ask you if you want me to include it or not, and I will do what you suggest. Perhaps we can present it late on one day and give those students who are not interested in it an opportunity to leave. In that way, those that want it will get it, and those that do not will not.

All the best,

Laurence Platt

Trainer
SYS-ED

>Laurence -- I spoke to someone in the office about systems (who
>used to teach REXX for us) about the fact that the REXX compiler
>was not on the SEF.

>Here's his response:

>REXX does not need to be compiled for the class, a compiler will only add
>complexity. If you had a REXX program that was going to be used 100's of
>times, then the speed benefit from using compiled code is worthwhile.
>If it >were to be used in production, compiled code is more secure than
>plain text. But for a beginner class, a compiler is not needed.